G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 560-14

1914.

Serial Nos. 1 — 4

(Inungai)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. ~~1~~ 1 — 4

Part B for G.C.L. 15

SUBJECT.

Praying that certain rules of procedure may be laid down as regards action taken by the Inung. Supdt. in matters within the purview of District Inungai Offices

To ~~Sc~~ 4
Dep. Commr Mysore No 968. A). 2-10-15.

Returns the petn from Rama Ramanuja Dass of Mysore & calls for such action as he deems necessary.

Ordered to be Recorded

W D 68—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Appadurai
Dor. VII 26-1-17.

G.R.S. 11] 1914 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mugrai)

NOTES.

5 (10) - 14
File No.

File heading is wrong.

FILE HEADING.

~~Praying that certain rules of procedure maybe laid down as regards action taken by Mugrai Supt: in matter of Dattatreya built by one Ramanuja Das on private lands of Dr. Mugrai Supt: in Subbarayapura Kattle, Mysore.~~

From

The Deputy Comr, Mysore Dist.

No. 465 Dated 11-5-15 Received 13-5-15

Diary No. 1840	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that the Amildar has promised to submit a report after 2 months in the matter of the petition of Ramanuja Das praying that the temple built by him may be taken under Govt management and raises a ~~side~~ question re the powers of the Mugrai Supdt & Dy: Comrs.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

addressed to the Dy: Comr.

The petition of Ramanuja Das praying that the temple of Dattatreya built by him at great cost may be taken under Govt management after his death, was forwarded to the Amildar for report.

2. A similar petition addressed to the

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000- 1,6 12

Serial No.

H

Ellurai Supdt was also forwarded to the Amildar for report on 28.10.14.

The Amildar in his report of 19.12.14 to the Dy: Comr: states that he has ascertained from the petitioner that he wants about 2 months' time to clear the debt contracted by him for the construction of the two shops by the side of the temple and that thereafter he would be in a position to state the exact income & expenditure of the temple; and that he has subd a report to the effect to the Ellurai Supdt.

4. The Dy: Comr: observes that it is anomalous that the Dy: Comr: and the Ellurai Supdt should take action independently of each other on the same subject and therefore requests that some rules may be laid down to prevent the recurrence of the anomaly.

The file (mny 82-14) regarding the powers of the Ellurai Supdt and the Dy: Commissioners was subd to the Dewan on 9.11.14.

Subd for orders The question of the powers of the Dy Comr and murtisastr was already raised by the murtisastr

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22 3-15

Serial No.

himself and is pending with the Govt. 7. When Govt's further report may be awaited.

Subst Office papers are subd for perusal.

28/5/15

8. Subd to Hon'ble members,

9. The point raised by the Govt is an important one. It is always based because when two ~~coordinate~~ independent officers happen to have concurrent jurisdiction in the same matter.

10. This conflict was in a way attempted to be avoided by the G.O of 7th Sept: 1908, by classifying the subject-matter but in spite of that the Govt courts continued to exercise jurisdiction in the case of institutions taken away from their control e.g. Gumbaj at Seringapatam, probably because the Govt court was the office in the spot and it would not be conducive to efficient control to remove an institution from his purview. In another connection the case of this institution was already raised but the Govt considered that the Govt court should continue to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22 3-15

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mug File No. 520 of 1914.

Serial No.

exercise control.

In the present instance 'acceptance of endowments made by private individuals when Mysore institutions' is a matter reserved in the order of Sept: 1908 for the disposal of the Mysore Govt: and naturally we took action without referring the matter for the disposal of the Bg Council by even in such an instance the Bg Council should if necessary to record a protest. The situation of the Mysore Govt: in matters relating to Mysore institutions, for the sound administration of which he is also held responsible may well be considered.

I have submitted some definite proposals sometime back which would to some extent restore to the Mysore Govt: powers of initiation and control and have suggested some alterations in the subjects reserved for disposal of the Bg Council, Mysore and Govt:. The file is pending the orders of Govt:.

Sd
13.7.15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 340 of 1914.

Serial No.

Is there any difficulty in laying down that all Comrs to ~~D~~ Asstt Comrs, ~~for~~ should be sent through the Dy Cr' in matters dealt with directly by the Mun Supt?

31/7/15 — H—

BOM 3/8/15

131 Subd to 1st mentd

If the ~~practice~~ procedure indicated above is to be laid down, it will, it is to be feared, result in impairing what little initiative still remains with the Municipal Supts.

In matters requiring action, brought to the notice of the Municipal Supts in the course of inspection or by petitions, the practice now obtaining is as follows. Except where routine matters are touched upon in which case the petitions are simply forwarded to the Asstt Comrs concd for disposal,

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysg File No. 540 of 1914.

Serial No.

Some enquiry is made either by the Mysore Supdt. himself or by reference to the auildars and when further action is indicated, the DC's report is moved by the Mysore Supdt. to complete the enquiry and pass further orders when the subject is one falling within the items reserved for his action in Part I of Appendix to Rule 4.0 of 7th Sept 1908 or reserved for Govt in Part III after same. In matters reserved to the Mysore Supdt for disposal — there are nearly very few if any mil. matters which have arisen or have since ceased to be exercised by him — the Mysore Supdt completes the enquiry, obtaining the opinion of DC's counsel where necessary and disposes of the case either himself or submits findings for the orders of Govt. This procedure appears to be what is contemplated by Govt. al. para 5 of the Govt. order quoted above.

If the Mysore Supdt is not to correspond directly with subordinate officers or auildars except through

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Diary File No. 540 of 1914.

Serial No.

the Deputy Commissioners, it will lead to unnecessary waste of time and energy of such a high placed and heavily worked officer as the S.D. Court, besides causing circumlocution and waste of time generally. Further there will be a division of responsibility and a distinct lowering of the executive efficiency of the Magistracy — even such evil is not to speak of dead lock occurring from time to time when the S.D. Court happens to differ as regards the procedure taken or the directions of the Magistrate for which he is responsible to Govt. alone.

I submit herewith for perusal an instance in point where the S.D. Court of Negapatam returned a communication sent through me to the address of T. Rama Sivan for an explanation. I did not take further action on the issue raised as at that time I was engaged in examining the position

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB- 25,000—30-1-15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mag File No. 5240 of 191 .

Serial No.

of the Mysore Secretariat and his relationship with the Secretaries with a view to the question being discussed by the Mysore Committee and I do not wish to prejudge the matter.

In the past the decision of the Mysore Secretariat whether in the person of Mr. A. Somasundaram who also Mysore Secretary, was conveyed to the Secretaries by means of Rukkars, while in the case of Mr. Ananta Swami Rao, the Secretary's office itself was in charge, action being taken by him as Secretary even in matters which came to his knowledge on his own personal initiative. It is only during the last few years that the status of the Mysore Secretary has undergone a distinct lowering, by swapping only the position of an undersecretary existing per and in the name of another officer and thus precluded from taking that measure of responsibility which as a Secretary he would be justified in doing. Being tied to Head Quarters by his Secretarial work which has been increasing year after year, he is more than ever dependent upon

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 4 15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Diary File No. 3740 of 1914

Serial No.

the reports of local Officers for his knowledge of Mysore matters and if he is to consult or obtain information from them direct, his powers for unofficial intercourse should be restricted

Hd
1. 9. 15

I shall be glad if the Mine Supt will speak to me about this matter personally.

If certain matters are reserved for the Supt, I do not see how his position is disturbed by sending Comms through Dy Cs. If Dy Cs take up such matters, the final report will, I suppose, come up to Mine. Supt.

6/9/15 H

This question may for the present lie over pending the decision of the general question.

14/9/15. H

Hd
14/9

P. T. O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet. B

GPB—25,000—20/4-15.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 540 of 1914.

Serial No. 2

From the Dy. Coun, Mysore Distt.,
letter no. 106 & 6-9-15

In continuation of his letter no. 465 & 11-5-15, submits for needful orders a petition presented by Bala Krishna-singh and others of Mysore stating that Ramanuja Dass, who had promised to hand over the temple of Dattatreya situated on the Subbarayanahere together with the properties attached thereto to Govt. on liquidating the debt which he has incurred in connection therewith, is intending to alienate the same to one Maheshagiri Gosayi and that the alienation should not be permitted etc.

Sl-1 The further report promised by the Amildar to be sent after two months ~~showing~~ embodying the scale of income and expenditure of the Dattatreya temple has not been received from the Dy: Coun.

Meanwhile the petition referred to above has been received.

As the institution does not appear to have been receiving any grant from the Govt, it is a point for orders

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Judy File No. 540 of 1914.

Serial No.

whether Rule 10 of the Nugpore Nuzrai Regulation is applicable to it, if as alleged in the petition it is going to be alienated by Ramamuja Doss.

May the Dy: Comr be requested to ascertain and report if the facts represented in the petition, forwarded by him, are true or may the petition proceed with the Dy: Comr's letter forwarded to the Nuzrai Suptd-t for enquiry and report.

Subd for orders.

SD
24/9 Rly

SD

Forward the petition and send an endorsement to petr

Hs
25/9/14.

As the petition has been addressed to the Dy: Comr, and has been received from the latter ~~and he has forwarded it to Govt~~ for needful orders, a draft letter returning it to him for favour of enquiry and report is subd for approval or orders, as the petr has not been addressed to Govt, no endt to the petn appears to be necessary.

SD
25/9 Rly

Hs
28/9/14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jury File No. 540 of 1914

Serial No.	
3	From the Dy: Comr., Nuppore Distt., letter no. 131 dt 29-9-15. Submits for orders a petition presented by Rama Ramanuja Dass residing in Dattatreya temple, Nuppore, requesting that arrangements be made for not registering the document executed by him in favour of Salunkar Purushottama Anandagiri Gosayin, as the document in question was fraudulently obtained by him. Subd to the Under Secretary in person and got the modified draft approved for issue. SD 1/10/15
4	No 1968 dt 2-10-15, to Dy Comr, Mysore, returning the petition (2 annexures) and calls for ~~[illegible]~~ such action as he deems necessary.

B

16/10/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

GPB—100,000—29-7-1

191

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To The Secy to Govt
Genl & Rev Depts
Mur Branch

Dated 11th May 1915.

Despatched

Received

General No. 465.

No.

Enclosure No. one file.

Requesting that some rules of procedure might be laid down re taking into Govt. management the temple of Dattatreya and its premises built by Ramanuja Doss, in Mysore

No:465.
En: 1 file.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 8th May 1915
11th

From

A.Subramanya Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

DIARY No. 1840



To

D.M.Narasinga Rao, Esquire , B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
MUZROI BRANCH
BANGALORE

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Ramanuja Das, who has a temple of Dattatreya built at his own cost on Subrayanakatte Mysore, petitioned to this Office praying that the temple and its premises might be taken over to the charge of the Government after his death.

The petitioner, however when closely questioned about the matter verbally gave out the fact that he has contracted a debt amounting to Rs 2000 for the construction of the shops (ಜಗಲಿ) and paying the rent received towards repayment of the debt which he hopes to liquidate in two months and to give afterwards a clear account of the income that might arise out of the building. The Amildar states that he would submit a further report embodying the scale of expenditure, if, after two months, the petitioner shows proper income.

Meanwhile the petitioner, seems to have put in a petition to the Muzroi Superintendent who in an order issued to the Amildar of Mysore Taluk direct, seems to have made certain observations as regards the feeding of cattle as required by the petitioner and about

the securing of a permanent income to the institution and the Amildar states that he has submitted his report direct to the Muzroi Superintendent.

Thus , in this matter, both the Muzroi Superintendent and the Deputy Commissioner have taken action independently without each knowing of the other's action. This appears to be anomolous and I request that you will be so good as to lay down some rules that will prevent the recurrence of this anno-moly.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

446.

437

GPB—100,000- 29 7-14

1915 16
SECTION Mem
FILE NO. 526-14
SERIAL NO. 2

1915-16

From The Dy Commissioner Mysore District

To The Revenue Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Mysore Branch

Dated 6.9.15

Despatched

Received

General No. 106
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Submits for needful orders a petition presented by Bala Krishna Brij + Nos of 100 ft road Mysore city re the alienation of Dattatreya temple &c by Ramanuja Doss to Mahadeshajee Gosavi.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore 6th September 1915.

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esqr. B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To,

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esqr. M. A.,
Rev: Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Munsif Branch.

Sir,

In continuation of this office No: 465 dated 11th May 1915, I have the honor to submit here-with for needful orders a petition Registered No. 93 dated 31st August 1915 presented by Bala-krishnasinga and others residing in 100 feet road, Mysore city, stating that Ramanujadasa who has promised to hand over the temple of Dattatreya Situated on the Subbarayana kere together with the properties attached thereto to Government on liquidating the debt which has incurred in connection therewith, is

is intending to alienate the same to one Maheshagiri Gosayi and that the — — alienation should not be permitted etc.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.
N. S. [illegible]
Assistant Commissioner
In Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.
Absent from Station

GPB—100,000—29 7-14

194

DIARY No. 572

19/5/19 16
SECTION Mudz
FILE No. 540-14
SERIAL No. 3

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To The Revenue Secretary
Muzrai Branch.
Bangalore.

Dated 29th September 1915.
Despatched
Received
General No. 131.
No.
Enclosure No. one.

Submitting for orders a petition presented by Rama Ramanuja dos.

C: 101.
Rc: 1..

2D

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore 20 September 1915.

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esq, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esq, M.A.,
Rev: Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Mungai Branch.

Sir,

In continuation of this office
letter no: 106 dated 4/6th September 1915, I
have the honor to submit herewith for
orders a petition presented by Rama
Ramanujadas residing in Ballalinga
Temple, Chamaraja Mohalla, Mysore,
requesting that arrangements be made
for not registering the document executed
by him in favor of Sabhar Purushottama

anandagiri Gosayi as the document-
in question was fraudulently obtained
from him.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Ks

14 N

Returns the petn re Dattatreya temple at Nugpore for such. rectifying the depmb ft said letter.

G. R S. 26]

File No. 340-14

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 437

No. 968 encl 2 petns & 1 p/c.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Revn)

, *the* *191*

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Nugpore District.

Date of issue 2.10.15

Sir,

Signed by Mr. G. A. Dyer

With reference to your letter no. 106 & 6th Sept 15 submitting for needful orders a petition presented by Bala Krishnasingh and others of Nugpore praying that Ramanuja Doss may not be allowed to alienate the properties attached to the temple of Dattatreya situated on the Subbarayanakere, I am directed

over.

and also letter no 131 & 29.9.15 Subtg for orders a petn presented by Rama Ramanujadoss requesting that steps may be taken for not registering the document executed by him in favour of Sahukar Purushottama Anandajini Gosavi as the document in question was fraudulently obtained by him

SUBJECT

Re

for such action as may
to return the petitions for
favour of enquiry & report
of the action you would propose
to take if the statement made
in the petition is ascertained
to be true.

I have etc.

For Secretary to Govt
in the Rev: Dept.

as to the truth of the
allegation contained
in it and to request
that such action may
be taken to see that
no such alienation
is allowed to take place
pending the decision
of the matter.

A report of action taken
is requested.

27.9.15

In reply to this please quote
No and date of this letter,
and address the Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

With reference to your letter
No 465 dated 11th May 1915,
relating to proposal of Ramanuja Das
to lease for 5 yrs the management
of the temple of Dattatreya. The Collector's
question of procedure raised
in the latter communication is under
the consideration of Govt and
appropriate orders will issue in
the matter

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.